IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.40059 of 2008
NIRAJ KUMAR SINGH
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
----

4. **10.12.2008** Heard Sri Krishna Prasad Singh, Senior Advocate for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The allegation is that the petitioner has borrowed some money from the informant and executed a receipt in that behalf on some stamp paper. There was serious attempt by the court to resolve the dispute if the petitioner had paid up the money but the learned senior counsel expressed his inability in eliciting any response from the petitioner in that behalf.

It was contended that the petitioner had earlier filed a case alleging that Vijay Singh and Umesh Tiwary forcibly obtained L.T.I. on the stamp paper which appears subsequently used by the informant in creating a receipt showing payment of certain amount of money.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

The court would have acted on the submission had it found that the stamp was not purchased by the petitioner himself. As such, the story of forcibly obtaining the L.T.I. appears a hoax.

Regard being had to the allegations and the supporting allegation in that behalf, I am not inclined to direct the release of the above named petitioner on bail. Petition is dismissed.

(Dharnidhar Jha, J.)

B.Kr.